packages at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped by t Malaga Packing Co., from Fresno, Calif., March 23, 1927, and transported fro the State of California into the State of New York, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated, in that it -cc sisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, or putrid vegetable substance

On September 3, 1927, the Malaga Packing Co., Fresno, Calif., claimant, ha ing admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it w ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant up payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the st of \$2,250, conditioned in part that it be labeled "Not for Human Consumption and should not be used for human consumption or for purposes other than t distillation of alcohol, manufacture of tobacco, or use as hog feed.

R. W. DUNLAP, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

15366. Adulteration of dried figs. U. S. v. 4,000 Cases of Dried Figs. Tri to the court and a jury. Directed verdict for the Government Decree of condemnation and forfeiture entered. Product release under bond. (F. & D. No. 21883. I. S. No. 13783-x. S. No. E-6107.)

On April 30, 1927, the United States attorney for the Southern District New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in 1 District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure a condemnation of 4,000 cases of dried figs, remaining in the original unbrol packages at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped by California Packing Corporation, from San Francisco, Calif., on or about Man 26, 1927, and transported from the State of California into the State of N York, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated, in that it c sisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, or putrid vegetable s

stance, to wit, wormy, moldy, filthy, sour, and bird pecked figs.
On July 11, 1927, Wm. A. Higgins & Co., Inc., New York, N. Y., having peared as claimant for the property, the case came on for trial before the co and a jury. After the submission of evidence for the Government, no witnes having been called by the claimant, the court directed a verdict in favor of Government. On August 25, 1927, a decree of condemnation and forfeiture tentered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution a bond in the sum of \$4,000, conditioned in part that the boxes of figs be labe "Not for Human Consumption," and should not be used for human consumpt or for purposes other than the distillation of alcohol, manufacture of tobal or use as hog feed. R. W. DUNLAP, Acting Secretary of Agricultur

15367. Adulteration of figs. U. S. v. 960 Cases of Figs. Tried to the condendation and forfeiture entered. Product released un bond. (F. & D. No. 21879. I. S. No. 16153-x. S. No. E-6103.)

On April 29, 1927, the United States attorney for the Southern Dist of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, 1 in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel pray seizure and condemnation of 960 cases of figs, remaining in the original unbro packages at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped the J. B. Inderrieden Co., from Fresno, Calif., on or about March 29, 1927, transported from the State of California into the State of New York, charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act. The ar was labeled in part: "Monogram Brand White Adriatic Figs, Packed J. B. Inderrieden Co., Fresno, Cal. U. S. A."

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated, in that it sisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, or putrid vegetable

stance, to wit, wormy, moldy, filthy, sour, bird pecked figs.

On July 11, 1927, Wm. A. Higgins & Co., Inc., New York, N. Y., ha appeared as claimant for the property, the case came on for trial be the court and a jury. A directed verdict for the Government was entered to August 27, 1927, a decree of condemnation and forfeiture was entered it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the avoiding claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the executio